

Spousal Lifetime Access Trusts (SLATs) An Important Tool for Married Couples

by Matthew D. Blattmachr, CFP® Jonathan G. Blattmachr, JD, LLM, & Jamie Rowley, CTFA



Summary

Although we all know the golden rule ("she who owns the gold, rules") even applies in families, some may feel sufficiently confident in their spouses that they will risk transferring assets to their spouses to protect the property from claims of creditors. As long as there is no fraudulent transfer involved, one spouse may transfer assets to a trust for the benefit of the other spouse, and protect the wealth in its entirety (assuming the trust is created in a jurisdiction that protects trusts under its spendthrift laws). This also will prevent the trust assets from being included in the gross estate of the transferor spouse and the gross estate of the beneficiary spouse, unless that spouse has a general power of appointment described in Section 2514 and 2041 (or the trust was a so-called QTIP trust, described in Section 2523[f]¹). It seems that the assets avoid inclusion in the estate of the transferor spouse unless the trust mandates the use of the trust property to discharge the obligation of the transferor to support his or her spouse.²

Benefits of a SLAT

By creating a trust for one's spouse, a property owner can continue to benefit from the property through the spouse without concern of creditor claims or estate tax inclusion.³ Although the trust could be made to qualify for the gift tax marital deduction (provided the beneficiary spouse is a US citizen), this should not be done to avoid its inclusion in the gross estate of the beneficiary spouse, thereby using the transferor spouse's gift tax exemption.

By creating a trust for one's spouse, a property owner can continue to benefit from the property through the spouse without concern of creditor claims or estate tax inclusion."

In fact, if the beneficiary spouse has a power of appointment (or is later granted one by a decanting or otherwise⁴), he or she could exercise it in favor of a trust for the transferor spouse. Additionally, unless the IRS (or a creditor perhaps) could show an understanding that the beneficiary spouse would so exercise it in such a manner, it would seem there should be no inclusion in the gross estate of the surviving spouse.⁵ Nonetheless, it would be prudent

page 1 www.PeakTrust.com

to have the beneficiary spouse create the trust for the transferor spouse in a jurisdiction that would protect the trust from the claims of the transferor spouse's creditors.

Avoiding the Reciprocal Trust Doctrine

The matter becomes, in some ways, more complicated if each spouse creates a trust for the other. This raises the risk of application of the so-called "reciprocal trust" doctrine, under which each beneficiary is treated as creating the trust for him or herself, potentially increasing the risk of estate tax inclusion (and claims of creditors).⁷ The parameters of how different the trusts for the spouses, or the amounts involved, must be, to avoid the doctrine, have not been determined. Although one case⁸ held that the doctrine did not apply where one spouse gave a lifetime special power of appointment to the other, where no power of appointment was granted to the other, it has been recommended the trusts be created at different times, with different trustees, with different assets and under the laws of different jurisdictions, among other differences.⁹ In fact, it may be best if one spouse creates a trust for the other spouse and delays even advising the beneficiary spouse of the creation of the trust, much less having two created at the same time, to reduce the risk of any claim of an implied understanding.¹⁰ Moreover, it would be best to create the trust in a domestic-asset-protection trust jurisdiction, such as Alaska or Nevada, because, if the decedent is a mere discretionary beneficiary with no power to control the beneficial enjoyment of the property, there should be no estate tax inclusion (provided the creditors of the beneficiary cannot attach the trust assets).¹¹

SLATs are Grantor Trusts

A factor that likely should be kept in mind when creating a SLAT is that the trust probably will be a grantor trust as to the spouse who created the trust. That, of course, means that all tax income earned in the trust will be taxed to that spouse. That will prevent the trust from avoiding state income tax if the grantor spouse is a resident of a state that imposes an income tax.

If a grantor trust is to be avoided, distributions can be made payable to the beneficiary spouse only with the consent of an adverse party."

If a grantor trust is to be avoided, distributions can be made payable to the beneficiary spouse only with the consent of an adverse party. Another factor about grantor trust status is that even if the spouses divorce, the spouse who created the trust will continue to be taxed on the trust income. Therefore, the trust probably should have a provision eliminating the spouse as a beneficiary if the spouses divorce or allowing distributions to the former spouse only with the consent of an adverse party.

page 2 www.PeakTrust.com

Endnotes

- See Section 2044. Section refers to a section of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
- See discussion in Gans & Blattmachr, "Another Look at Spousal Lifetime Access Trusts," Leimberg Estate Planning Newsletter 1387 (December 18, 2008); but cf. Merrick & Goodwin, "The Good, Bad and Ugly of Spousal Access Trusts," Leimberg Estate Planning Newsletter 1334 (Aug. 20, 2008).
- 3 See Gutchess v. Commissioner, 46 T.C. 554 (1966), acq. 1967-1 CB 2.
- 4 Zeydel & Blattmachr, "Tax Effects of Decanting-Obtaining and Preserving the Benefits," 111 Journal of Taxation 288. (Nov. 2009).
- 5 Cf. Rev. Rul. 2004-64, supra.
- 6 See Rothchild et al., "IRS Rules Self-Settled Alaska Trust Will Not Be Grantor's Estate," 37 Estate Planning 3 (Jan. 2010).
- 7 See Slade. "The Evolution of the Reciprocal Trust Doctrine Since Grace and Its Application in Current Estate Planning," Tax Mgt Estates, Gifts & Trusts. (May 1992); Steiner & Shenkman, "Beware of the Reciprocal Trust Doctrine," Trusts & Estates 14 (2012).
- 8 Estate of Levy v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo 1983-453.
- 9 See suggestions in Blattmachr, Gans & Zeydel, "Supercharged Credit Shelter Trustsm," 21 Probate & Property 52 (Jul./Aug. 2007).
- The reciprocal trust doctrine was developed under common law and later applied to the tax law. See De Rousse v. Williams, 181 lowa 379 (1917), and Everett v. Peyton, 167 NY 117 (1901). Lehman v. Commissioner, 109 F2d 99 (2d Cir. 1940), cert. denied, 310 U.S. 637 (1940).
- 11 See PLR 2009-44-002 (not precedent) discussed in Rothchild, note 6, above.

About the Authors



Matthew Blattmachr is President and CEO of Peak Trust Company and has been with the firm since 2008, having previously worked in every department in the firm prior to assuming his leadership role. Matthew holds a Master of Business Administration as well as Certified Financial Planner (CFP) and Certified Fiduciary & Investment Risk Management Specialist (CFIRS) designations. Matthew has written and co-authored numerous articles related to trusts and estate planning in Alaska and Nevada for various national publications including Family Office Magazine and Trusts & Estates Magazine. Matthew is active in a number of professional associations, public policy groups, and non-profits related to the trust and estates industry in Alaska, Nevada, and nationally.



Listed in The Best Lawyers in America, Jonathan G. Blattmachr is a Principal in Pioneer Wealth Partners, in the estate planning advisory group. He gives lectures and has written extensively on estate and trust taxation and charitable giving. Mr. Blattmachr was a Harlan Fiske Scholar at the Columbia University School of Law, where he received his law degree and graduated cum laude. He has an A.B. from Bucknell University in mathematics. Mr. Blattmachr has written nine books and over 500 articles on estate planning and other tax issues. He has been an Advisor on The American Law Institute, Restatement of the Law, and Trusts 3rd; he is a member of the American Bar Foundation. He is a retired member of Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP and of the Alaska, California and New York State bar associations.



Jamie Rowley, CTFA, serves as Director of Fiduciary Services at Peak Trust Company, where she leverages her expertise in investments, operations, and trust management to advise clients on sophisticated estate planning strategies and administer high-value trust accounts. An active member of the Anchorage Estate Planning Council, Jamie shares her knowledge through co-authored articles on trust and estate planning topics. Jamie earned her Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration from Alaska Pacific University, and her Certified Trust and Financial Advisor (CTFA) designation from the Cannon Financial Institute.

page 3 www.PeakTrust.com



Copyright 2024 © Peak Trust Company. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction in any form or forwarding to any person prohibited – without express permission. This article is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information regarding the subject matter covered. It is provided with the understanding that Peak Trust Company cannot provide legal advice and this material should not be construed as such. Jurisdiction-specific law should be discussed with appropriately skilled legal counsel. The techniques discussed here are general statements and do not cover the many complexities of the subject. Each state frequently proposes and pass updates and clarifications to their respective statutes and regulations. Please consult legal counsel and official state sources for the most up-to-date information on jurisdiction-specific law. Peak Trust Company makes no guarantees as to the completeness or relevance of these statements. Statements of fact or opinion are the responsibility of the authors and do not represent an opinion on the part of the officers or staff of Peak Trust Company.

Peak Trust Company serves as trustee of trusts nationwide, specializing in administration for trusts pursuant to Alaska and Nevada law. "Peak Trust Company" is the brand for a group of affiliated state chartered professional trust companies headquartered in Anchorage, Alaska. Separate state charters are maintained for operations in Alaska and Nevada as Peak Trust Company-AK and Peak Trust Company-NV. More information about Peak Trust Company, including our services, investment strategies, fees and objectives, are available upon request by calling (888) 544-6775, or visiting www.PeakTrust.com.

page 4 www.PeakTrust.com